



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,811	07/28/2005	Larry Erickson	00270.0079USWO	7621
23552 7590 04/17/2008 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				
EXAMINER				
WORLEY, CATHY KINGDON				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1638				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/17/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/519,811		ERICKSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CATHY K. WORLEY		1638	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) CATHY K. WORLEY.

(2) Mark T. Skoog.

**Date of Interview:** 14 April 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/Cathy K. Worley/

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On March 20, 2008, the Office received a letter requesting that the Office acknowledge and accept the priority documents filed with the International Bureau. On April 14, 2008, the Examiner left a voicemail message for Mark T. Skoog explaining that the Examiner's initials and signature on the BIB Data Sheet were to acknowledge these priority documents. The Office does not check the "foreign" priority box on forms for PCT applications or for US Provisional cases. On April 14, 2008, Mark T. Skoog phone the Examiner and left a voicemail message stating that the letter from March 20, 2008, was sent in error, and the Office need not do anything further to acknowledge the priority documents. It is not necessary for the applicant to provide a separate record of the telephone calls, since the issue does not affect the allowance of the application.